

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		8648
09/842,963	04/27/2001	Mark J. Weiser	1671-0004	0040
03/042,203			EXAMINER	
	90 01/05/2004	•	LEVY.	NEIL S
BARRY I. FRIEDMAN				
METZ LEWIS,	LLC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11 STANWIX STREET 18TH FLOOR			1616	11
PITTSBURGH	, PA 15222		DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT APPLICATION NUMBER

> EXAMINER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
11/87	
Responsive to communication(s) filed	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire————————————————————————————————————	month(s), or thirty days, the period for response will cause ned under the provisions of 37 CFR
Disposition of Claims	
\mathbb{P} -Claim(s) $1-23$	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 2,3,5, 13-23	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s) are sul	bject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objects	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved _ disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have	ve been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	·
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAG	GES -

الأرامين أحملاء وينعير مصعدات معينها للمالك

Application/Control Number: 09/842,963

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I species of plastic cover in Paper No. 3/11/03 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all claims share a container limitations and no serious burden would be added. This is not found persuasive because for reasons of record, the 2 inventions are separate and distinct and require different and independent searches and examinations, and would be an excessive burden. Further, we see no election of the form species thus presume applicant considers granular, powder and fibrous forms to be equivalent.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 17-23, 2, 3, 5, 13-16 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 3/11/03.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised



Art Unit: 1616

that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is intended as a limitation of "effluent" and of "pre-scented" is the effluent actively generated; if so how? Is the pre-scented a perfume added to the repellent/attractant? How does pre differ from not pre? How do we distinguish "flexible" and "semi-rigid" form any other characteristics? (Claim 8) please quantify.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6, 8-10, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Basile-3835578.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,963

Art Unit: 1616

Dieldrin, a high vapor pressure insecticide thus odiferous, in powder form (col.2, line 50-col.3, line 5) within thus, tubular plastic closed containers, prevent passage of liquid, but, are attractive to termites, and perforated (degradable).

Claims 1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishihara et al 4974725.

See Fig. 3, col.2, lines 51-66. Powder form, as granule is the pre-scent (col.1, lines 35-50).

Claims 1, 4, 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aries-Fr 1602397.

See p.8, lines 22-40-thin plastic containers of 0.3-6 mm sheet material, contain the instant volatile attractants/repellents (p.2, top, bottom) in an envelope (p.5, top).

Claims 1, 4, 6-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Warberg-6337081.

See Fig.2, col.3 line 16-31-perforated plastic envelope within which are prescented fibrous composition. The thickness is as required to contain get permit effluence and be easy to handle, get functional (fig.3), thus of a size and thickness within the purview of one of ordinary skill, of 1-5mm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 308-4556. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Levy/tgd

December 29, 2003

NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER